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Differences between Japan and the US

**Japan**
- Membership-based employment: **Generalists**
- No job description in general
- Seniority-based promotion with a retirement age
- High internal mobility (job rotation)
- Manager: Coordinator and Harmonizer
- Governance and management are mixed

**US**
- Job-based employment: **Specialists**
- Clear job description
- Performance-based promotion without a retirement age
- High external mobility
- Manager: Leader and goal setter
- Developed governance: e.g., Shared governance
Differences between Japan and the US

Japan
- Clear binary between staff and faculty
- Academic administrators are tenured faculty with a strong academic background
- Internal presidential appointments
- Sidetracked from an academic career to serve for a university, “Scarifying oneself for the organization”

US
- Less clear binary between staff and faculty
- Career path to be professional administrators with a variety of academic background
- Open recruitment and executive search services
- Aspiration to build a successful career
Changing administration and management at Japanese Universities

Government initiatives

• Strengthening the leadership of the president with board members and weakening the power of faculties/schools, encouraging the top-down approach (decentralized -> centralized).
• Increasing board members from outside a university
• Top Global University Project requires selected unis. to reform and improve institutional governance and management

Changing employment practice

• Job-based employment is prevailing but only for junior-level practitioners, and they are non-regular employees (fixed-term contracts) with a job description.
Issues on international education are becoming complex and require professional expertise and experience.

How can we cope with this situation? Could we learn lessons from the US model SIOs to apply for this situation?
The trend of SIOs in the US and Japan
72%

Institutions reporting that internationalization has accelerated in recent years (as compared with 64% in 2011)

ACE Mapping Internationalization, 2017
Priority activities for internationalization

#1 Increasing study abroad for US students
#2 Recruiting international students
#3 Partnerships with institutions abroad
#4 Internationalizing the curriculum/co-curriculum
#5 Faculty development

ACE Mapping Internationalization, 2017
58%

Respondents who indicate that internationalization activities and programs are led by a single office (up 22% from 2011)

ACE Mapping Internationalization, 2017
53%

Institutions that have a full-time administrator who oversees internationalization activities

(up 13% from 2011)
SIOs are catalysts for internationalization

- Presidents are seen as the top catalyst for campus internationalization
- SIOs are seen as the #2 catalyst, ahead of chief academic officers, faculty, and administrative leaders
59% SIOs who do not have a tenured position at their current institution
46% SIOs who have been in their position for less than 5 years
Rationales for the survey in Japan

• To identify similarities and differences in the profile of SIOs between the US and Japan

• To contribute to research on SIOs, in order to deeply understand the trends in the management of Comprehensive Internationalization
SIOs survey in Japan

Purpose: To explore the profile of SIOs in Japan

Period of the survey: April to June, 2019

Target: 201 SIOs in 106 Japanese universities which have strongly promoted internationalization

Questionnaire: Applied the questions used in the AIEA’s 2017 survey to the survey in Japan and added some questions that might be relevant to the Japanese case

Method: Distribution of the survey sheet through email

Response rate: 55.2% (111 respondents)
SIOs survey in Japan: The results to show you today

- Years of experience in the current SIO position
- Educational background
- Ethnicity
- Gender
- Age distribution
- Years of experience in working for International Education
- Tenured or not
- Desired career after the current SIO position
- Reason to become an SIO (only Japan)
Years of experience in the current SIO position

- Less than 1 year: 20.7%
- 1-5 years: 63.1%
- 6-10 years: 13.5%
- 11-15 years: 0.9%
- 16-20 years: 0.9%
- More than 20 years: 0.9%

2019:
- N=111
- Less than 1 year: 15%
- 1-5 years: 46%
- 6-10 years: 24%
- 11-15 years: 10%
- 16-20 years: 3%
- More than 20 years: 2%

2017:
- N=179
- Less than 1 year: 15%
- 1-5 years: 46%
- 6-10 years: 24%
- 11-15 years: 10%
- 16-20 years: 3%
- More than 20 years: 2%
Educational background

Study field of the final degree

- Science and Engineering: 35.5%
- Social Science: 32.7%
- Humanities: 23.4%
- Medical, Dental, Pharmacological and Health Sciences: 8.4%

Bachelors: 9.9%
Masters/Professional: 22.5%
Doctoral: 67.6%

- 2019 N=111
- 2017 N=104

Post-Doctoral: 17.3%
Masters: 2.9%
Bachelors: 1.9%
Doctoral/Professional: 77.9%
Ethnicity

2019

- Japanese: 89.9%
- Other than Japanese: 6.4%
- Do not wish to disclose: 2.8%
- Other: 0.9%

N=109

2017

- Asian: 7.9%
- White: 69.5%
- Latino/a: 5.1%
- Black: 5.1%
- Pacific Islander/ Native Hawaiian: 0.0%
- More than one race: 2.3%
- Do not wish to disclose: 4.0%
- Other: 6.2%

N=177
Gender

2019

Female; 11.8%
Male; 85.5%
Do not wish to disclose; 2.7%

2017

Female; 47.8%
Male; 50.6%
Other; 0.6%
Do not wish to disclose; 1.1%
Years of experience in international education

- **2019**
  - Less than 1 year: 7.2%
  - 1-5 years: 29.7%
  - 6-10 years: 19.8%
  - 11-15 years: 14.4%
  - 16-20 years: 13.5%
  - More than 20 years: 15.3%

- **2017**
  - Less than 1 year: 0.0%
  - 1-5 years: 6.2%
  - 6-10 years: 15.2%
  - 11-15 years: 11.8%
  - 16-20 years: 27.5%
  - More than 20 years: 39.3%

*Source: AIEA*
Do you currently hold a tenured position at your institution?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Yes (%)</th>
<th>No (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
<td>59.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Desired career plan after SIO

- 25.2% Remain in an SIO position
- 26.2% Move from an administrative position to a teaching position
- 16.8% Aspire to become a Provost
- 29.9% Aspire to become a President
- 0.9% Do not wish to answer
- 0.9% Other

N=107

- 3.3% Remain in an SIO position
- 6.0% Move from an administrative position to a teaching position
- 6.0% Aspire to become a Provost
- 65.4% Aspire to become a President
- 19.2% Other

N=182

2019
What brought you to be an SIO?

- Personnel appointment, President's appointment or other external factors: 57.8%
- Self-directed intention, individual experience/skills or other internal factors: 36.7%
- Other: 5.5%

N=109

2019
Professionalization of SIOs is being established in the US

- High mobility of SIOs across institutions to step up their career as a professional of university internationalization

- PD opportunities are provided for senior staff
  Ex. AIEA, NAFSA, ACE...

- Professional identity as an SIO
Professionalization of SIOs in Japan is at the stage of development

- Gap between demand and supply of senior managers for university internationalization
- Low mobility of SIOs across institutions: Internal appointment is a typical case
- Difficulty in developing a career path as an SIO
- Difficulty in promoting PD for SIOs
Questions for discussion on the professionalization of SIOs

- Can the US case provide a roadmap to Japan, or should Japanese universities find their own way to develop professionalization of SIOs?

- How does the “Standards of Professional Practice for International Education Leaders and Senior International Officers” help HE institutions in US, Japan, and other countries identify gaps in practice and find a way to fill?

https://www.aieaworld.org/assets/docs/Standards/aiea%20standards%20of%20professional%20practice%20-%20202019%20update.pdf
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